

SURFACE REPRESENTATION AND BRIESKORN MANIFOLDS

OMOLOLA ODEBIYI

INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION TO CONTRIBUTION

Let $M(p, q, r)$ be the intersection of the unit sphere $S^5 = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 = 1\}$ with the hypersurface (complex variety of Pham and Brieskorn)

$$z_1^p + z_2^q + z_3^r = 0,$$

where p, q, r are integers ≥ 2 . $M(p, q, r)$ is known as the Brieskorn manifold. It is a smooth, compact 3-manifold and a typical example of a Seifert manifold. In [5] we showed that $M(p, q, r)$ admits a spherical CR structure. A non-degenerate CR-structure on a smooth manifold is a contact subbundle of codimension one together with a complex structure on it. A CR manifold is a smooth odd dimensional manifold that admits a nondegenerate CR-structure. In particular, a nondegenerate CR-structure on a $(2n + 1)$ -manifold M is spherical if and only if M is locally isomorphic to S^{2n+1} . The sphere S^{2n+1} is a principal fibre bundle over the complex n -dimensional projective space. The complex projective space admits a homogeneous Kähler metric. Since a Kähler manifold is a symplectic manifold it is therefore interesting to study CR manifolds and in particular spherical CR manifolds.

More precisely, a spherical CR structure on a smooth $(2n + 1)$ -manifold is a maximal collection of charts modelled on the standard $(2n + 1)$ -sphere whose coordinate changes lie in the group $Aut_{CR}(S^{2n+1})$ of CR-automorphisms of S^{2n+1} .

In this paper, we show that Brieskorn manifolds admit a spherical CR structure which belong to the same connected component as the \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian representations.

Sections 2 and 3 are about known results by Goldman-Kapovich-Leeb [2] and Milnor [9] respectively. The last section is devoted to our main results.

Date: October 12, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57Q45, 53C15, 53D10, 51M10.

Key words and phrases. Brieskorn manifold, Spherical CR-structure, Developing maps, Hyperbolic geometry.

1. GOLDMAN-KAPOVICH-LEEBS RESULT

1.1. Complex hyperbolic surface. Let Σ denote a closed oriented (Riemann) surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and let $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{PU}(n+1, 1)$ be a representation of its fundamental group in the group of biholomorphic isometries of complex hyperbolic $(n+1)$ -space. There is an invariant associated with ρ which is defined as follows: Let $\tilde{f} : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ be a ρ -equivariant smooth mapping of the universal covering of Σ . Then Toledo's invariant $\tau(\rho; \tilde{f})$ is defined as the (normalized) integral of the pull-back of the Kähler form ω on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$.

$$\tau(\rho; \tilde{f}) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{f}^* \omega$$

1.2. \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian representations. There are two kinds of totally geodesic submanifolds in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ of real dimension two:

- Complex geodesic (copies of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$) which is the intersection of a complex line with $H_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. It carries the Poincare model of the hyperbolic plane which gives a natural inclusion $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^1) \subset \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$.
- Totally real geodesic 2-planes (copies of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$) which is the intersection of the \mathbb{R}^2 -plane with $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. It carries the Klein-Beltrami model of the hyperbolic plane which gives a natural inclusion $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2) \subset \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$.

A representation of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ into the isometry group of the hyperbolic plane is called Fuchsian if it is discrete and faithful.

A representation of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ into $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ restricted to a Fuchsian representation such that $|\tau(\rho)| = 2g - 2$ is called \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian. Similarly, a representation of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ into $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2)$ restricted to a Fuchsian representation such that $\tau(\rho) = 0$ is called \mathbb{R} -Fuchsian.

The following are the results of Goldman-Kapovich-Leeb:

Theorem 1. *For every genus $g \geq 2$ and every even integer τ satisfying*

$$(1.1) \quad 2 - 2g \leq \tau \leq 2g - 2$$

there exists a convex-cocompact discrete and faithful representation $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) = \pi \rightarrow \text{PU}(2, 1)$, ($\rho(\pi) = \Gamma$) with $\tau(\rho) = \tau$. Furthermore, the complex hyperbolic surface $M = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2/\Gamma$ is diffeomorphic to the total space of an oriented \mathbb{R}^2 -bundle ξ over Σ with the Euler number

$$(1.2) \quad e(\xi) = \chi(\Sigma) + |\tau(\rho)/2|$$

1.3. The boundary. If we take the convex core $C(\Gamma)$ of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2/\Gamma$ by pushing forward the boundary $\partial C(\Gamma)$ and taking the quotient we obtain $S^3 - L(\Gamma)/\Gamma$.

Corollary 1. *The manifold $S^3 - L(\Gamma)/\Gamma$ is diffeomorphic to the total space of an S^1 -bundle over the surface Σ which has the same Euler number as the \mathbb{R}^2 fibration of $M = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2/\Gamma$.*

This implies the S^1 bundle admits a spherical CR structure by definition.

2. MILNOR'S RESULT

2.1. **Milnor's classification of $M(p, q, r)$.** Put $\kappa = p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} - 1$, Milnor [9] has shown that $M(p, q, r)$ is diffeomorphic to a coset space of the form $\Pi \backslash G$ where G is a simply connected 3-dimensional Lie group and Π is a discrete subgroup. The classification is as follows:

- (1) $\kappa > 0$. $G = \text{SU}(2)$ and Π is a finite subgroup.
- (2) $\kappa = 0$. $G = \mathcal{N}$, the Heisenberg Lie group and Π is a discrete uniform subgroup.
- (3) $\kappa < 0$. $G = \widetilde{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})}$, the universal covering of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and Π is a cocompact subgroup.

As mentioned in the introduction, we have shown in [5] that every $M(p, q, r)$ according to the above classification admits a spherical CR structure.

We would like to list the possibilities of $\kappa = p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} - 1$. Note that (p, q, r) is listed such that $p \leq q \leq r$.

- When $\kappa > 0$, (p, q, r) must be one of the triples

$$(2, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 3, 5) \text{ or } (2, 2, r)$$

for some $r \geq 2$.

- When $\kappa = 0$, the triple (p, q, r) must be either

$$(2, 3, 6), (2, 4, 4) \text{ or } (3, 3, 3).$$

- In the last case when $\kappa < 0$ we have the infinitely remaining triples.

We are interested in the case when $\kappa < 0$. The theorem below is another result by Milnor.

Theorem 2. *If the least common multiples of $(p, q), (p, r)$ and of (q, r) are all equal*

$$(2.1) \quad m = \text{l.c.m}(p, q) = \text{l.c.m}(p, r) = \text{l.c.m}(q, r),$$

then the Brieskorn manifold $M(p, q, r)$ fibers as a smooth circle bundle with chern number $-pqr/m^2$ over a Riemann surface of Euler characteristic $pqr(p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} - 1)/m$.

$M(p, q, r)$ is a principal circle bundle over a Riemann surface. The free action is defined as follows for $t \in S^1$:

$$t(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (t^{\frac{m}{p}} z_1, t^{\frac{m}{q}} z_2, t^{\frac{m}{r}} z_3)$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

From the results of Goldman-Kapovich-Leeb and Milnor we have two S^1 bundles. Two S^1 bundles over the same surface are equivalent if and only if their Euler numbers are equal. Hence we would like to show that $e(M(p, q, r)) = e(S^3 - L(\Gamma)/\Gamma)$ if we take same surface Σ .

Lemma 1. *$M(p, q, r)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^3 - L(\Gamma)/\Gamma$*

Proof. First, we substitute $e(M(p, q, r))$ and $\chi(\Sigma)$ into the equation 1.2. We have

$$-pqr/m^2 = pqr(p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} - 1)/m + |\tau(\rho)/2|$$

The next step is to find a Toledo invariant τ satisfying the above equation.

To find such a τ we have to substitute the possible values of the triples (p, q, r) satisfying the condition 2.1 to calculate $|\tau(\rho)/2|$ satisfying the condition that for every $g \geq 2$

$$2 - 2g \leq \tau \leq 2g - 2$$

When $p = q = r = m$, such that the difference $|\tau(\rho)/2| = e(M(p, q, r)) - \chi(\Sigma)$ is $m^2 - 4m$ and $|\tau| = 2m^2 - 8m$, only $p, q, r = 4$ satisfy the conditions 2.1 and 1.1 with $|\tau| = 0$ and $g = 3$. \square

We recall that in the case when $\kappa < 0$, the triples (p, q, r) are infinitely many. For this reason, we would like to consider other possibilities for example when $p \neq q \neq r$ also $p \neq q = r$. Although there are infinitely many triples that satisfy the condition 2.1 we know of only a few of them that satisfy the condition 1.1.

Theorem 3. *When $p \neq q \neq r$ and $p \neq q = r$, the following triples (p, q, r) satisfy the condition 2.1 such that τ is an even integer with $g \geq 2$ satisfying the condition 1.1.*

	(p, q, r)		(p, q, r)		(p, q, r)	
	$\pm\tau$	g	$\pm\tau$	g	$\pm\tau$	g
p = 2	(2,5,10)		(2,6,6)		(2,8,8)	
	2	2	0	2	4	3
p= 3	(3,6,6)					
	6	4				

We recall that in [5] we showed that when $\kappa < 0$, $M(p, q, r)$ admits spherical CR structure such that $L(\Gamma)$ is a geometric circle S^1 and Γ is not necessarily discrete. Xia [10] has shown that when Σ is compact the Toledo invariant distinguishes different connected components of the space of all representations(not necessarily discrete and faithful)of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. We can therefore conclude from the values of the Toledo invariant τ that for $M(4, 4, 4)$ and $M(2, 6, 6)$ our representation belongs to the same connected component as the \mathbb{R} -Fuchsian but are not conjugate. Similarly, for $M(2, 5, 10)$, $M(2, 8, 8)$ and $M(3, 6, 6)$ our representation belongs to the same connected component as the \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian but are not conjugate.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.S. Chen and L. Greenberg, *Hyperbolic Spaces, Contribution to Analysis (A Collection of Papers Dedicated to Lipman Bers, eds. L. Ahlfors and others)*, Academic Press, New York and London, 49–87, 1974.
- [2] W. Goldman, M. Kapovich, and B. Leeb, *Complex hyperbolic manifolds homotopy equivalent to a Riemman surface*, *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, vol. 9 (1), 61–95, 2001.
- [3] M. Gromov, H.B Lawson, and W. Thurston, *Hyperbolic 4-manifolds and conformally flat 3-manifolds*, *Institut Des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques*, No 68, 27-45, 1988.
- [4] Y. Kamishima, *Conformally flat manifolds whose developping maps are not surjective*, *Trans. A. M. S.* vol. 294, 367–378, 1986.
- [5] Y. Kamishima,O. Odebiyi *On the limit sets of spherical CR manifolds*, *Bull. Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinicia(New Series)* vol.4,No.2 189-217,2009.
- [6] M. Kapovich, *Flat conformal structures on 3-manifolds*, *Journal of Differential geometry* vol 38, 191-215, 1993.
- [7] S. Kobayashi, *Principal fibre bundles with the 1-dimensional torodial group*,*Tohoku Mathematical Journal* vol 8, 29-45,1956.
- [8] N.H Kuiper, *Hyperbolic 4-manifolds and Tesselations*, *Institut Des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques* No 68, 47-76, 1988.

- [9] J. Milnor, *On the 3-dimensional Brieskorn manifolds $M(p, q, r)$* , *Ann. of Math. Studies*, No. 84, Princeton, 175–225, 1985.
- [10] E.Z. Xia, *The moduli of flat $PU(2, 1)$ structures on Riemann surfaces*, *Pacific J. Math*, vol195, 231-256, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1,
HACHIOJI, TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN
E-mail address: `akinyemi-omolola@ed.tmu.ac.jp`