

## SHADOWING IN SET-VALUED SYSTEMS

SERGEI YU. PILYUGIN

The study of the shadowing problem for classical dynamical systems (diffeomorphisms and flows) was originated by D. V. Anosov [1] and R. Bowen [2]; the modern state of the shadowing theory is reflected in the monographs [3, 4].

The shadowing property means that, near approximate trajectories (pseudotrajectories), there exist exact trajectories of the system.

Another type of shadowing properties (inverse shadowing properties) is related to the following problem: given a family of mappings that approximate the defining mapping for the dynamical system considered, can we find, for a chosen exact trajectory, a close pseudotrajectory generated by the given family? Such properties were considered by various authors (let us mention, for example, the papers [5, 6]).

In this talk, we describe several recent results on shadowing and inverse shadowing for set-valued dynamical systems obtained together with J. Rieger (Bielefeld University, Germany).

Let us pass to basic notation. Consider a metric space  $(\mathcal{M}, \text{dist})$  and denote by  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M})$  the set of closed subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

A set-valued dynamical system on  $\mathcal{M}$  is determined by a set-valued mapping  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  and its iterates. In what follows, we identify the mapping  $F$  and the corresponding dynamical system.

A sequence  $\eta = \{p_k\}$  is a trajectory of the system  $F$  if

$$(1) \quad p_{k+1} \in F(p_k) \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A sequence  $\xi = \{x_k\}$  is called a  $d$ -pseudotrajectory of  $F$  if an error of size  $d > 0$  is allowed in every step, i.e., if

$$(2) \quad \text{dist}(x_{k+1}, F(x_k)) \leq d \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We say that the system  $F$  has the shadowing property if given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $d > 0$  such that for any  $d$ -pseudotrajectory  $\xi = \{x_k\}$  of  $F$  there exists a trajectory  $\eta = \{p_k\}$  with

$$\text{dist}(x_k, p_k) \leq \epsilon \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The distance between two nonempty compact subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is measured by the deviation

$$\text{dev}(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} |a - b|$$

or by the Hausdorff distance

$$\text{dist}_H(A, B) = \max\{\text{dev}(A, B), \text{dev}(B, A)\}.$$

The collection of nonempty, compact, and convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{CC}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . As usual, for a sequence  $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\|\eta\|_\infty = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\eta_k|.$$

Our main shadowing results for set-valued mappings are based on a new definition of hyperbolicity for such mappings. Previously, hyperbolicity conditions for set-valued mappings (or for systems defined by relations) were introduced by Akin [7] and Sander [8]. Let us note that our hyperbolicity condition is qualitatively different from those in [7, 8] since, under our condition, the shadowing trajectory is not necessarily unique (in contrast to Akin's and Sander's cases).

Consider a set-valued mapping  $F$  of the form

$$(3) \quad F(x) = L(x) + M(x),$$

where  $L : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a continuous single-valued mapping and  $M : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{CC}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  is a set-valued mapping with compact and convex images. We say that the mapping (3) is hyperbolic in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  if there exist constants  $N \geq 1$ ,  $a, \kappa, l > 0$ , and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that the following conditions hold:

(P1) For any point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  there exist linear subspaces  $U(x), S(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$S(x) \oplus U(x) = \mathbb{R}^m,$$

and if  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$  are the corresponding complementary projections from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $U(x)$  and  $S(x)$ , then

$$(4) \quad \|P(x)\|, \|Q(x)\| \leq N.$$

(P2) If  $x, y, v \in \mathbb{R}^m$  satisfy the inequalities  $|v| \leq a$  and

$$\text{dist}(y, F(x)) \leq a,$$

then we can represent  $L(x + v)$  as

$$(5) \quad L(x + v) = L(x) + A(x)v + B(x, v),$$

where  $A(x) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a linear mapping that is continuous with respect to  $x$  and such that

$$(6) \quad |Q(y)A(x)v| \leq \lambda|v| \text{ for } v \in S(x),$$

$$(7) \quad |Q(y)A(x)v| \leq \kappa|v| \text{ for } v \in U(x),$$

$$(8) \quad |P(y)A(x)v| \leq \kappa|v| \text{ for } v \in S(x),$$

and the restriction  $P(y)A(x)|_{U(x)} : U(x) \rightarrow U(y)$  is a linear isomorphism satisfying

$$(9) \quad |P(y)A(x)v| \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}|v| \text{ for } v \in U(x).$$

Note that since  $L(x)$  and  $A(x)$  are assumed to be continuous,  $B(x, v)$  is continuous for any  $x$  and  $v$  with  $|v| \leq a$ .

(P3) If  $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$  satisfies the inequality  $|v| \leq a$ , then

$$(10) \quad |B(x, v)| \leq l|v|$$

and

$$(11) \quad \text{dist}_H(M(x), M(x + v)) \leq l|v| \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Note that condition (11) implies the continuity of  $M$  w.r.t. the Hausdorff distance.

A simple example of a set-valued hyperbolic mapping is as follows. Assume that  $A$  is an  $m \times m$  hyperbolic matrix (this means that the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  of  $A$  satisfy the inequalities  $|\lambda_j| \neq 1$ ). Let  $S$  and  $U$  be the invariant subspaces of  $A$  that correspond to the parts of its spectrum inside and outside the unit disk, respectively.

Let  $M$  be a fixed compact convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Then the set-valued mapping  $F(x) = Ax + M$  is hyperbolic (in this case, the spaces  $S(x)$  and  $U(x)$  coincide with  $S$  and  $U$ , respectively, for any  $x$ ).

**Theorem 1** [9]. *Let  $F$  be a set-valued hyperbolic mapping as described above. If*

$$(12) \quad \lambda + \kappa + 4lN < 1,$$

then  $F$  has the Lipschitz shadowing property: there exists a constant  $d_0 > 0$  such that if  $\{x_k\}$  is a  $d$ -pseudotrajectory of  $F$  with  $d \leq d_0$ , then there exists a trajectory  $\{p_k\}$  of  $F$  such that

$$\|\{x_k\} - \{p_k\}\|_\infty \leq \mathcal{L}d,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2N} (1 - \lambda - \kappa - 4lN).$$

Our result on inverse shadowing is, in a sense, local (in contrast to the case of shadowing) – we consider a fixed trajectory of the set-valued mapping  $F$  and look for close trajectories of sequences of mappings that approximate  $F$ .

Thus, let us fix a sequence of points  $p_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $p_{k+1} \in F(p_k)$ .

We assume that the mapping  $F$  is hyperbolic at the trajectory  $\eta = \{p_k\}$  in the following sense: there exist constants  $N \geq 1$ ,  $a, \kappa, l > 0$ , and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that condition (P1) holds for points  $x = p_k$ , condition (P2) holds for points  $x = p_k, y = p_{k+1}$ , and vectors  $v$  with  $|v| \leq a$ , and, finally, condition (P3) holds for points  $x = p_k$  and vectors  $v$  with  $|v| \leq a$ .

We also fix a number  $d > 0$  and a sequence of mappings

$$\Phi = \{\Phi_k : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow CC(\mathbb{R}^m)\}$$

such that each  $\Phi_k$  is continuous w.r.t.  $\text{dist}_H$  and

$$(13) \quad \text{dist}_H(F(p_k + v), \Phi_k(p_k + v)) \leq d \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } |v| \leq a.$$

We say that a sequence of points  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is a trajectory of the sequence  $\Phi$  if  $x_{k+1} \in \Phi_k(x_k)$ .

**Theorem 2** [9]. *Assume that a trajectory  $\eta = \{p_k\}$  of  $F$  is hyperbolic in the above sense. If condition (12) is satisfied, then  $F$  has the inverse Lipschitz shadowing property: there exists a constant  $d_0 > 0$  such that if a family of mappings  $\Phi$  satisfies inequalities (13), where  $d < d_0$ , then there exists a trajectory  $\{x_k\}$  of  $\Phi$  such that*

$$\|\{x_k\} - \{p_k\}\|_\infty \leq \mathcal{L}d,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the same as in Theorem 1.

Various authors studied shadowing properties of set-valued dynamical systems with contractive properties (see [10-12]).

A set-valued dynamical system on a metric space  $(\mathcal{M}, \text{dist})$  determined by a set-valued mapping  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is called contractive if there exist constants

$a > 0$  and  $l \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $p, q \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\text{dist}(p, q) \leq a$ , then

$$(14) \quad \text{dist}_H(F(p), F(q)) \leq l \text{dist}(p, q)$$

(we give here one of possible variants of the definition).

Shadowing results for contractive set-valued dynamical systems were established in [12] (for the case of mappings of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  whose images are either convex or have “large continuous convex kernels”) and in [10] (without the convexity assumption; unfortunately, the proof in [10] contains an error).

Let us note that in the case of  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^m$ , a contractive set-valued dynamical system with convex and compact images  $F(x)$  is a particular case of a system defined by a hyperbolic mapping (in the sense of the definition above). Indeed, in this case we may take any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,  $S(x) = \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $U(x) = \{0\}$ , and  $L(x) = 0$  (thus,  $A = 0$ ) for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then conditions (P1), (P2), and (10) hold with  $N = 1$  and any  $l, \kappa > 0$ , while inequalities (11) are a reformulation of (14).

It was shown in [12] (see Remark 3) that there exist contractive set-valued dynamical systems such that, for pseudotrajectories with arbitrarily small errors, the shadowing trajectories are not necessarily unique. This means that our definition of hyperbolicity for set-valued dynamical systems does not imply the uniqueness of shadowing trajectories.

The proof of the following shadowing result in [9] is based on a fixed point theorem by Frigon and Granas [13].

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \text{dist})$  be a complete metric space. Assume that for a set-valued mapping  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  there exist constants  $a > 0$  and  $l \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $p, q \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\text{dist}(p, q) \leq a$ , then inequality (14) holds.*

*Then  $F$  has the Lipschitz shadowing property: there exists a constant  $d_0 > 0$  such that if  $\{x_k\}$  is a  $d$ -pseudotrajectory of  $F$  with  $d \leq d_0$ , then there exists a trajectory  $\{p_k\}$  of  $F$  such that*

$$\sup_k \text{dist}(x_k, p_k) \leq \mathcal{L}d,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}^{-1} = 1 - l$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] . V. Anosov. On a class of invariant sets of smooth dynamical systems. *Trudy 5th Int. Conf. Nonlin. Oscill.*, Kiev, Vol. 2, pp. 39-45 (1970).
- [2] . Bowen. *Equilibrium States and the Ergodic Theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms*. Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 470, Springer (1975).
- [3] . Yu. Pilyugin. *Shadowing in Dynamical Systems*. Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 1706, Springer (1999).

- [4] . Palmer. *Shadowing in Dynamical Systems. Theory and Applications*. Kluwer Acad. Publ. (2000).
- [5] . M. Corless and S. Yu. Pilyugin. Approximate and real trajectories for generic dynamical systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol. 189, pp. 409-423 (1995).
- [6] . E. Kloeden and J. Ombach. Hyperbolic homeomorphisms and shadowing. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, Vol. 65, pp. 171-177 (1997).
- [7] . Akin. *Simplicial Dynamical Systems*. Amer. Math. Soc. (1999).
- [8] . Sander. Hyperbolic sets for noninvertible maps and relations. *Discrete Cont. Dyn. Syst.*, Vol. 8, pp. 339-357 (1999).
- [9] . Yu. Pilyugin and J. Rieger. Shadowing and inverse shadowing in set-valued dynamical systems. Hyperbolic case. *Topol. Meth. Nonlin. Anal.* (accepted).
- [10] . Glavan and V. Gutu. On the dynamics of contractive relations. *Analysis and Optimisation of Differential Systems*, pp. 179-188, Kluwer Acad. Publ. (2003).
- [11] . Glavan and V. Gutu. Attractors and fixed points of weakly contractive relations. *Fixed Point Theory*, Vol. 5, pp. 265-284 (2003).
- [12] . Yu. Pilyugin and J. Rieger. Shadowing and inverse shadowing in set-valued dynamical systems. Contractive case. *Topol. Meth. Nonlin. Anal.* (2008).
- [13] . Frigon and A. Granas. Résultats du type de Leray-Schauder pour des contractions multivoques. *Topol. Meth. Nonlin. Anal.*, Vol. 4, pp. 197-208 (1994).

ST.PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY. RUSSIA, 198504, ST.PETERSBURG, UNIVERSITY AV., 28,  
E-mail address: [sp@sp1196.spb.edu](mailto:sp@sp1196.spb.edu)