

## BILINEAR BOUNDARY OPTIMAL CONTROL OF THE VELOCITY TERM IN A KIRCHHOFF PLATE EQUATION

JONG YEOUL PARK

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider a bilinear boundary optimal control problem for a Kirchhoff plate equation. The control is a function of the spatial variables and acts a multiplier of the velocity term. We prove the existence of a boundary optimal for a given objective functional and uniqueness of this optimal control for  $T$  sufficiently small.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the boundary control problem of a Kirchhoff plate equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} w_{tt} - \Delta w_{tt} + \Delta^2 w = 0 & \text{in } Q = \Omega \times (0, T), \\ w(0) = w_0, w_t(0) = w_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_0 = \Gamma_0 \times (0, T), \\ \Delta w + (1 - \mu)B_1 w = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_1 = \Gamma_1 \times (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(\Delta w) + (1 - \mu)B_2 w - \frac{\partial w_{tt}}{\partial \nu} = h(x, y)w_t + g(w) & \text{on } \Sigma_1 = \Gamma_1 \times (0, T), \end{cases}$$

where the control set

$$h \in U_M = \{h \in L^\infty(\Gamma_1) : -M \leq h(x, y) \leq M\},$$

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a bounded domain with  $C^2$  boundary  $\Gamma := \partial\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1 = \Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $\Gamma_0 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2)$  is the outward unit normal vector on  $\Gamma$  and

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 w &= 2\nu_1\nu_2 w_{xy} - \nu_1^2 w_{yy} - \nu_2^2 w_{xx}, \\ B_2 w &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} [(\nu_1^2 - \nu_2^2)w_{xy} + \nu_1\nu_2(w_{yy} - w_{xx})], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau$  is the tangential direction along  $\Gamma_1$ . The constant  $\mu$ ,  $0 < \mu < 1/2$ , represents Poisson's ratio and the function  $g$  is continuously differentiable real-valued function with satisfies the following conditions :

$$(H_1) \quad g(0) = 0, \quad 0 < g'(s) \leq L, \quad \text{where } L \text{ is a positive constant.}$$

We take as our objective functional

$$J(h) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Q (w - z)^2 dQ + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} h(x, y)^2 d\Gamma,$$

---

*Key words and phrases.* bilinear boundary optimal control problem, existence of solutions, adjoint equations, necessary conditions .

where  $z \in L^2(Q)$  is a given target function and the quadratic term in  $h$  represents the cost of implementing the control with weighting factor  $\beta > 0$ . We seek to minimize the objective functional and characterize an optimal control  $h^* \in U_M$  such that

$$J(h^*) = \min_{h \in U_M} J(h).$$

For convenience, we assume that

$$z \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)), \quad z_t \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)).$$

For background on plate models and control, see the books by Lagnese and Lions [8], Lagnese [7], Kormornik [5], Li and Yong [10]. The bilinear control case treated here does not fit into the Riccati framework [9], even though the objective functional is quadratic, the state equation has a bilinear term,  $hw_t$ . Bilinear control problem was introduced in Bradley and Lenhart [3] with control acting through the term  $hw$ . Recently, Bradley, Lenhart and Yong [4] and Bradley and Lenhart [3] were considered the bilinear optimal control of the velocity term in a Kirchhoff plate equation. The author M.E. Bradley [2] studied the exponential stabilization for a Kirchhoff plate with boundary nonlinearities. In contrast to the problem of a Kirchhoff plate with boundary nonlinearities, we studied the boundary control problem for a Kirchhoff plate equation. It is important to observe that it has never been considered boundary optimal control problem for a Kirchhoff plate equation in the literature as in the present paper. Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show well-posedness of our state problem. In Section 3, we show the existence of an optimal control by a minimizing sequence argument. In Section 4, we derive a characterization for optimal controls, in terms of the solutions of an optimality system. In Section 5, we prove that the optimal control is unique for small time  $T$ , provided that initial data are taken to be sufficiently smooth.

## 2. Well-posedness of the state equation

We will begin by proving existence, uniqueness, and regularity results for the state equation. We first define solution spaces :

$$H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega) = \{w \in H^2(\Omega) : w = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} = H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega).$$

Note that the bilinear form on  $H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega)$

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} [\Delta u \Delta v + (1 - \mu)(2u_{xy}v_{xy} - u_{xx}v_{yy} - u_{yy}v_{xx})] d\Omega$$

induces a norm on  $H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega)$  which is equivalent to the usual  $H^2(\Omega)$  norm on  $H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega)$  (see [6]). Throughout this paper we denote

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x, y)v(x, y)d\Omega, \quad \|u\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} u(x, y)^2 d\Omega,$$

and

$$(u, v)_{\Gamma_1} = \int_{\Gamma_1} u(x, y)v(x, y)d\Gamma.$$

Moreover, we denote  $\|\cdot\|_X$  the norm on a Banach space  $X$ .

**Definition 2.1** Given  $h \in U_M$ ,  $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}(h) = (w(h), w_t(h))$  is a weak solution to (1.1) if  $\tilde{w} \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})$ ,  $\tilde{w}(0) = (w_0, w_1)$  and  $\tilde{w}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \{ \langle w_{tt}, \phi \rangle + (\nabla w_{tt}, \nabla \phi) + a(w, \phi) \} dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} g(w)\phi d\Gamma dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} h w_t \phi d\Gamma dt \quad \forall \phi \in H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega), \\ & w(0) = w_0, w_t(0) = w_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality pairing between  $H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega)$  and  $[H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega)]'$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (i) Let  $\tilde{w}(0) = (w_0, w_1) \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $h \in U_M$ . Then the system (1.1) has a unique weak solution.

(ii) In addition, if  $(w_0, w_1) \in D_0$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 = \{ (w_0, w_1) \in (H^4(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega)) \times H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega) : \Delta w_0 + (1 - \mu)B_1 w_0 = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(\Delta w_0) + (1 - \mu)B_2 w_0 - \frac{\partial w_{tt}(0)}{\partial \nu} = g(w_0) + h w_1 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \} \text{ for } h \in U_M, \end{aligned}$$

then the weak solution satisfies

$$\tilde{w} \in C([0, T]; (H^4(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega)) \times H_{\Gamma_0}^2(\Omega)) \text{ and } w_{tt} \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** (A priori estimate). Given  $\tilde{w}(0) \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $h \in U_M$ , the weak solution to (1.1) satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \|\tilde{w}\|_{C([0, T]; \mathcal{H})} \leq \|\tilde{w}(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}(1 + 2MT)^{1/2} e^{c_3 MT},$$

where  $c_3$  is a constant.

**Theorem 2.2.** There exists an optimal control  $h^* \in U_M$ , which minimizes the objective functional  $J(h)$  over  $h$  in  $U_M$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.E. Bradley, *Uniform stabilization of a nonlinearly perturbed Kirchhoff plate*, J. Appl. Math. Opt. **26** (1992), 1-19
- [2] M.E. Bradley, *Global exponential decay rates for a Kirchhoff plate with boundary nonlinearities*, Differential and Integral Equations **7** (1994), 275-292
- [3] M.E. Bradley and S. Lenhart, *Bilinear spatial control of the velocity term in a Kirchhoff plate equation*, Elect. J. Diff. Eqs. **2001** (27) (2001), 1-15
- [4] M.E. Bradley, S. Lenhart and J. Yong, *Bilinear optimal control of the velocity term in a Kirchhoff plate equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **238** (1999), 451-467
- [5] V. Komornik, *Exact Controllability and Stabilization : The Multiplier Method*, John Wiley and Sons and Masson, New York, 1994
- [6] J.E. Lagnese, *Uniform boundary stabilization of homogeneous isotropic plates*, in Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, **102**, Springer, Berlin, 204-215, 1987
- [7] J. Lagnese, *Boundary Stabilization of Thin Plates*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1989
- [8] J.E. Lagnese and J.L. Lions, *Modelling Analysis and Control of Thin Plates*, Masson, Paris, 1988

- [9] I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani, Differential and Algebraic Riccati Equations with Applications to Boundary/Point Control Problems: Continuous Theory and Approximation Theory, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, **164**, Springer, New York, 1991
- [10] X. Li and J. Yong, Optimal Control Theory for Infinite Dimensional Systems, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995
- [11] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications, Springer, 1972
- [12] R. Martin, Nonlinear Operators and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, John Wiley and Sons, 1976

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 609-735, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* `jyepark@pusan.ac.kr`